



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.nispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/357,720	07/21/1999	RUSSELL W. BELL	60704-1870	9648
75	90 07/26/2002			
DANIEL R MCCLURE THOMAS KAYDEN HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY NW			EXAMINER	
			VU, THONG H	
SUITE 1500 ATLANTA, GA	303305048		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AILANIA, OF	1 303373740		2152	
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2002	$\mathscr{O}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<del></del>			
•	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/357,720	BELL, RUSSELL W.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thong H Vu	2152				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 15 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a virial rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or			
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI</li> </ol>						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.			
NOTE:						
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject</li></ol>	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u>						
Claim(s) objected to: WONE						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Exam	iner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No <del>(s)</del>					
10. Other:	, ,	AMARK H. RINEHART RVISORY PATENT EXA CHNOLOGY CENTER 2	AMINER			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the system and method of claims 1-12 is obvious in view of the prior art.

As per claim 1, applicant argues the prior art does not teach " deirecting outgoing WAN communications from any of the SLave computer (i.e.: client) to the WAN link via the Master computer (i.e.:server)

Examiner notes the prior art taught a WAN links to bridge partnet [Conant col 5 line 66][Dillon Fig 2].

As per claim 7, applicant argues the priro art does not teach the different LANs.

Examiner notes the prior art taught the WAN links connects to the different LAN (i.e.:remote bridge) [Conant col 5 line 66] As per claim 9, applicant argues the prior art doesnot teach "receving appropriate inbound WAN communications directly. Examiner notes the prior art taught the client machine recevied the inbound WAN communications directly [Dillon Fig 2]